### Eurodollar and Fed Funds Futures

### Bjørn Eraker

Wisconsin School of Business

January 8, 2015

Bjørn Eraker Eurodollar and Fed Funds Futures

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Eurodollars are USD deposited outside the US (not necessarily just Europe).

The funds are not subject to Fed regulation.

Eurodollars can be invested with various investment horizons, at the USD denominated London-Interbank-Offer-Rate (LIBOR).

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

Is the rate at which banks are willing to borrow to other banks in the London interbank market. It represents the borrowing rate of large banks.

Libor is a widely used benchmark for other floating rate loans. For example, a corporation may be offered a loan at X bp over LIBOR.

Since counter-parties do not have he credit quality of the US government, LIBOR contains a positive spread to US T-Bills.

▲ 御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …



Figure: Historical 90 day LIBOR over T-Bill Spread. TED3 function in Bloomberg.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

ъ

The USD LIBOR is determined by a survey to 16 banks.

Since its a survey, people can lie

WSJ in May 29, 2008 ran a story suggesting banks underreported their borrowing cost, suggesting that they wanted to convey a picture of lesser borrowing costs or default spread amid concerns of their financial health. This would lead to a downward bias in reported LIBOR rates.

## Libor manipulation to lower rate

 <sup>66</sup> Hi Guys, We got a big position in 3m libor for the next 3 days. Can we please keep the lib or fixing at 5.39 for the next few days. It would really help. We do not want it to fix any higher than that. Tks a lot.
 <sup>99</sup> Barclays Bank trader in New York to submitter,
 13 September 2006<sup>[23]</sup>

Figure: Libor Rigging Scandal - quote from anon Barkleys trader. Source: Wiki.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

The 2008 WSJ story was initially contradicted, but investigations throughout 2010 and 2011 eventually uncovered large scale manipulations.

Among others Bank of America, Barcleys, UBS, Royal Bank of Scotland, Deutche Bank and JP Morgan were fined. UBS was fined \$1.5BN.

In the aftermath, litigation was brought from several trading counter-parties, inducing municipalities, cities, and homeowners who had their floating rates determined on the first day of the month (the rates would be manipulated up on that date). Read more about the scandal in Wiki and online

news-sources.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

The initial WSJ report has been covered in FIN365 and FIN740 since 2008.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

∃ 𝒫𝔅

#### <HELP> for explanation.

97) Chan	nge Cou	ntry 📼	98) 1	Feedba	ack	12:2	20:35			Treasu	ury & Mo	ney Ma	rkets: U	nited	States
1)FED Funds(FOMC) 09:12			US T	-Bill				EURO\$D	ΞP			Revers	e (Bid)	Repo	(Ask)
BID/ASK	0.1300	0.1400	4W	0.01 -	0.01	0.01	0.01	ЗM		0.1800	0.3000	0/N	0.16		0.1
LST/OPEN	0.1300	0.1300	3M	0.02+		0.02	0.02	6M		0.2500	0.3700	1W	0.15		0.1
HIGH/LOW	0.2300	0.1200	6M	0.08 -		0.09	0.08	1Y		0.4000	0.5000	2W	0.15		0.1
			1Y	0.21 -		0.22	0.21					1M	0.16		0.1
Dow Jones			S8P	500 Futi	ure			NASDAQ Composite Index			CRB Co	CRB Commodity Index			
DJIA 17	'560.77 -	272.22	SP)	< Futur	e 202	22.50-	23.8	CCMP	4	4676.11	-50.71	CRB		27.21	-1.2
2) US Bonds (Bl	BT)							Comm F	Paper	90D EUF	US FUT	Funds	Future	3) LIB(	DR Fix
T 0 % 12/3	31/16	0.665	99	)-29 <sup>4</sup> 4	99		+ 00	15D	0.150	MAR	99.7150	JAN	99.885	0/1	0.11260
T 1 12/15/	17	1.049	99	)-27 <sup>4</sup> 4	99	-27+		30D	0.160	JUN	99.5550	FEB	99.880	1W	0.13480
T 1 % 12/3	31/19	1.578	100	0-07	100	-07 <sup>1</sup> 4		60D	0.190	SEP	99.3450	MAR	99.880	1M	0.16750
T 2 % 12/3	31/21	1.868	101		101	-21+	+ 09+	90D	0.220	DEC	99.0950	APR	99.875	2M	0.21420
T 2 4 11/1	15/24	2.060	101	-21+	101			120D	0.260	MAR	98.8450	MAY	99.840	3M	0.2556
T 3 11/15/	44	2.632	107	'-18	107	-18+	+1-06	180D	0.320	JUN	98.6000	JUN	99.800	6M	0.36480
4) Spot FOREX(	(FXC)	Key Ra	ites		Swa	ps								1Y	0.6328
JPY	119.766	5 Prim	е	3.25	3Y		.2648	10Y Note Future							
EUR	1.1919	9 BLR		2.00	5Y		.7045	CBT		127-20	+ + 15+	5) 30Y M	1BS (BBTM)		
GBP	1.5234	4 FDTF		0.25	10	Y 2	.1705	Commo	dities			GNM/	3. 105-	16 10	5-17 + 00
CHF	1.0079	9 Disc	ount	0.75	30	Y 2	.5775	NYM 1	NΠ			GOLD	3.5 104-	18 10	4-19 + 08
CAD	1.179	0						GOLD		1197.3	3 +8.10	<b>ENMA</b>	3.5 104-	26 10	4-27 + 0
30 Economic Releases (ECO)															
Date Time A M R Event Period Surv(M) Actual Prior Revised															
31) 01/05 09:4 ISM New York								Dec		70.8	62.4				
2) 01/05 Wards Domestic Vehicle Sales								Dec 1	3.70M	1	3.78M				
3) 01/05 Dec 16.90M 17.08M 17.08M															
35) 01/06 09:4 Arkit US Composite PMI									Dec F			53.8			
Australia 61 2 9777 8600 Brazil 5511 2095 9000 Europe 44 20 7330 7500 Germany 49 69 9204 1210 Hong Kong 852 2977 6000															
Talpan 81 3 3201 8900 Singapore 55 5212 1000 0.5. 1 212 318 2000 Copyright 2015 Bloomberg Finance L.P. SN 842817 EST GMT-5:00 H443-1962-2 05-Jan 2015 12:20:35															

Figure: The BTMM screen in Bloomberg gives LIBOR rates, among other things.

Bjørn Eraker Eurodollar and Fed Funds Futures

# The rates are quoted on a 30/360 basis. Suppose we invest 100,000 for three months at 2.23625. We collect

$$100,000(1+\times\frac{2.23625}{100}\times\frac{90}{360}) = 100,559$$

in principal + interest after 3M.

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

æ

The CME trades futures contracts on interest rates directly (ie. these are NOT futures on bonds...)

We will see that these contracts allow us to buy and sell duration directly.

Transacting in this market allow us to readily hedge interest rate risks.

Contracts written on 90 LIBOR.



Figure: Bloomberg Libor screen.

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

#### Nov 14, 2008 CME prices (from cme.com):

MTH/	SES	SSION	ΡT	EST	PI	RIOR DA	AY
STRIKE	LAST	SETT	CHGE	VOL	SETT	VOL	INT
NOV08	97.73	97.74	0325	556	97.7725	42038	116400
DEC08	97.705A	97.705	10	5510	97.805	305669	566828
JAN09		97.81	115		97.925	285	9584
FEB09		97.84	11		97.95		766
MAR09	97.86A	97.855	095	5511	97.95	273343	278162
APR09		97.855	09		97.945		99
JUN09	97.835B	97.835	09	5910	97.925	258788	974666
SEP09	97.78A	97.775	075	1964	97.85	232756	893646
DEC09	97.63A	97.63	055	5548	97.685	233425	796881
MAR10	97.58A	97.58	025	3127	97.605	165396	605702
JUN10	97.38A	97.38	UNCH	2952	97.38	113575	400480
SEP10	97.09A	97.09	+.02	3222	97.07	91065	346916
DEC10	96.71A	96.70	+.04	3543	96.66	54989	243631

・ロン ・聞 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

₹ 990

First, the price is not actually a price, but rather a way to quote the a rate. The rate on the DEC 08 contract is

100 - 97.705 = 2.295

which compares to the 2.23625 spot.

The contract works as follows: Suppose we bought one futures yesterday at the quoted settlement price of 97.805, implying a rate of 100-97.805=2.195.

The CME Eurodollar futures has an underlying notional amount of 1M and is *marked-to-market*.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Marked-to-market means that the contract is settled daily. Since the underlying instrument is a 90 day interest rate, the long receives

$$-1,000,000\times(2.295\times\frac{90}{360}-2.195\frac{90}{360}) = -2,500\times0.1 = -250$$

from the short (the market is in zero net supply, so for every long there is a short). le. he pays 250.

Alternatively, we can say that the contract pays  $25 \times$  basis point change in the underlying rate every day.

In other words, the DV01 of the Eurodollar futures is exactly 25 (wrt LIBOR). It does not depend on the level of interest rates, as do bond DV01s.

- Speculation. We can bet on interest rate changes with with a notional 1M exposure with much smaller margin than 1M.
- We can easily bet on interest rate increases (short positions make money when LIBOR increases) without shorting bonds (or borrowing)
- Limited counter-party default risk because contracts are marked to market

個 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

Consider a corporation which receives 100M on March 20th and invest the money again on June 19 (90 days later). The current Eurodollar rate is 2.274%.

The corporation buys 100 eurodollar futures.

On March 20th, the 90 day Eurodollar rate has changed to 2.75%.

The total cash flow from the futures contract is  $250,000 \times (2.274 - 2.75) = -119,000.$ 

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Next, the corporation invests 100 M at 2.75. The value of the investment is

$$100,000,000 \times (1 + \frac{2.75}{100} \times \frac{90}{360}) = 100,687,500$$

out of which the 687,500 is interest.

Note that if the corporation had invested at 2.274 they would have received interest of

$$100,000,000 imes rac{2.274}{100} imes rac{90}{360} = 568,500.$$

- 제품 제 제품 제

1

The difference,

#### 687,500 - 568,500 = 119,000

#### is identical to the capital loss on the Eurodollar futures.



(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

3

Our previous computation completely ignores the difference in timing of the cash flows. A forward contract would have produced the loss of 119K at the settlement date of the forward.

A futures contract could in principle produce the entire 119K loss right after we enter into the contract. The difference is a loss of 119 today (futures) vs a loss of 119 on March 20th.

Economically, losing 119 today is worse than losing it on March 20th, and the difference is an *interest-on-interest* effect.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The timing difference of the cash flows in forward and futures contracts has two implications

- The futures contract has (slightly) higher interest rate sensitivity. In hedging with futures therefore, one should buy slightly fewer futures contracts than forward contracts. Tuckman further details the adjustment on p. 344-47.
- Theoretical futures prices differ slightly from theoretical forward prices.

Consider, in the abstract, a futures contract written on a bond with maturity T that settles on date S. Let  $F^{u}(t)$  denote the time t futures price

It is straightforward to show that the futures price must be equal to the expected future price of the T zero at time S using risk neutral probabilities to compute the expected value

$$F^{u}(0) = E^{*}(P(S,T)).$$
 (1)

Tuckman also argues that the rate futures rate  $r_{fut} = 100 - F^u(0)$  is

$$r_{fut} = E^*(r_t) \tag{2}$$

伺 とくほ とくほ とう

where  $r_t$  is the future short rate. This holds if we interpret the future short rate to be the rate on a discount basis. In other words, if P(S, T) is the time S price of a T maturity zero with \$100 face, and we define

$$r_t = 100 - P(S, T)$$

If we define the short rate in the usual manner (i.e,  $r_t = (100/P(S,T))^{-\frac{360}{T-S}}$ ) then (2) will not hold.

Eurodollar futures are simply not treasury futures.

LIBOR rates are rates that contain a significant spread to similar maturity treasuries *because LIBOR rates contain significant counter-party default premiums*.

To model LIBOR futures rates, we need to consider the possible moves in both benchmark treasury rates, and the spread between libor and t-bill rates (default spread). We can accomplish this in a two factor model, by letting the first factor be the default free rate and the second being the spread, or the default probability. More on this in another class (on default risk).

▲ 御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

The Fed fund futures market is similar to the LIBOR futures, except that

- The underlying is the 30 day effective Fed Fund rate (not the target rate)
- The notional amount is 5M
- The contact is settled to the *average* of the FF rate over the month
- Trades at the CBOT

個 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

1

Given the contract parameters, we have that the cash flow to a long FF futures receives

$$5,000,000\times\frac{0.0001\times30}{360}=41.67$$

per basis point change in the average FF rate.



(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

э



#### Figure: Target and effective Fed Funds rates.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

#### As of Nov 14th, 2008, the FF futures traded at

	PRICE	RATE
NOV08	99.62	0.38
DEC08	99.54	0.46
MAR09	99.325	0.675
JUN09	99.195	0.805

As of Nov 14, 2008 the FF target rate is 1% while the effective rate 0.35%.

A E > A E >

3

We will consider an example from the book on how to reverse engineer the markets expected Fed action from FF futures.

The following parameters apply:

- Current date is Dec 4, 2001.
- The current FF target is 2%.
- The average effective FF rate from Dec 1 to 4th was 2.025%.
- The next FOMC meeting is Dec 11th, 2001.
- The FF futures with settlement on Dec 31st, 2001 is trading at a rate of 1.845%

通 とくほ とくほ とう

The 1.845 futures rate represents the expected, average rate from Dec 1st to Dec 31st of 2001. Let *r* denote the new FF target rate following the Dec 11 FOMC meeting.

We expect that the effective rate will equal the target rate from Dec 4-Dec 11, and also that the FF rate will not change following the meeting.

It must be that

$$1.845 = \frac{4 \times 2.025 + 7 \times 2 + 20E(r)}{31}$$

So we find the expected rate to be

$$E(r) = 1.766$$

Since this is lower than the current rate and close to a 25 basis point drop, we can guess that the probability of the target rate remaining unchanged is

$$2 \times p + (1 - p) \times 1.75 = 1.766$$

and we find p = 0.064 and 1 - p = 0.936, implying that the market has puts a probability of about 94% on a 25 bp cut.

The Fed did indeed cut rates by 25 bp on Dec 11, 2001.

As of Jan 2015:

- Markets expect current near-zero interest rates to persist for several months
- Bump to 50 BP expected Oct/Nov 2015

#### See

```
http://www.cmegroup.com/trading/
interest-rates/stir/30-day-federal-fund.html
for real time data.
```

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)